

# Kyle BROCHARD

## Shareholder

ORANGE COUNTY | LOS ANGELES

T 213.626.8484

E kbrochard@rwglaw.com



Kyle Brochard is a shareholder in Richards, Watson & Gershon's Litigation Department. Kyle has wide-ranging experience representing municipalities and public agencies in both state and federal courts, with a special focus on public works and infrastructure matters, and water law-related litigation.

## WORK FOR CLIENTS

Kyle has represented the cities of Beverly Hills, Brea, Highland, Indio, Manhattan Beach, Palmdale, Pismo Beach, Rancho Cucamonga, Seal Beach, Temecula, and Upland, as well as Indian Wells Groundwater Authority, Madera County Groundwater Sustainability Agency, and Marina Coast Water District.

## PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Prior to attending law school, Kyle worked as an advocate for disabled individuals before the Social Security Administration. During law school, he externed for the Honorable Emily Pines, New York State Supreme Court Judge for the Tenth Judicial District.

## EXPERIENCE

## LITIGATION VICTORIES

- ▶ ***The Doheny Plaza Association et al. v. The City of Beverly Hills.*** Successfully defended The City of Beverly Hills in a Prop 218 challenge.
- ▶ ***San Luis Obispo Local Agency Formation Commission v. City of Pismo Beach*** (2021) 61 Cal.App.5th 595. Successfully defended the City of Pismo Beach by obtaining a motion for judgment on the pleadings invalidating the indemnity agreement required by LAFCO to process annexation application. Judgment

## PRACTICE AREAS

Litigation  
Water Rights and Water Law

## FOCUS AREAS

Civil Litigation  
Constitutional & Civil Rights Issues  
Construction & Public Works  
Municipal Code Enforcement  
Police Practices (Litigation)  
Validation Actions (Litigation)  
Water Rights & Water Law  
Writs & Appeals

## EDUCATION

J.D., University of Southern California Gould School of Law  
B.A., University of Delaware

affirmed on appeal.

- ▶ ***Marina Coast Water District v. California-American Water Company***. Successfully defended Marina Coast Water District against claims for damages in excess of forty million dollars related to failed desalination project by obtaining motion for summary adjudication. Case is currently on appeal.

## NEWS

RWG Secures Appellate Victory Upholding Public Agency Fees in SGMA Case  
05.28.2024

"Good Cause" Requirement for Concealed Firearm License Invalidated  
06.29.2022

Hearing Required Before Return of Confiscated Weapons  
10.04.2021