



Court of Appeals Holds Nuisance Claims Must Be Brought within Six Years

Kaitlyn E. Manley Foster Swift Municipal Law News March 8, 2021

A recent Michigan Court of Appeals decision applied a six-year statute of limitations to a township's nuisance claim against property owners who were violating the zoning ordinance - even though the nuisance was ongoing.

In Township of Fraser v Haney, Ruth and Harvey Haney began operating a piggery on their property in Fraser Township in 2006 in violation of the Township's Zoning Code. The Haneys raised and cared for 20 domestic hogs on the property, which produced foul odors and attracted an excessive number of flies to the area, which was zoned for commercial use.

In 2016, Fraser Township sued the Haneys to abate the nuisance caused by the piggery on the property. In response, the Haneys filed a motion for summary disposition claiming the nuisance suit was barred by the six-year statute of limitations provided under MCL 600.5813.

Although the piggery constituted a clear nuisance and violation of the Township's Zoning Code, the Court of Appeals remanded the case to the trial court to grant the Haneys' motion for summary disposition. In its ruling, the Court of Appeals held that:

[A] claim for the abatement of a nuisance filed by a government entity seeking injunctive relief [is] subject to the six-year general period of limitations under MCL 600.5813.

The Court further ordered that "the common law discovery rule [is] not available as a means of tolling the accrual period." This means that the six-year statutory period for nuisance claims begins to run when the claim accrues—regardless of whether the municipality knows or has reason to know the nuisance exists.

The Michigan Supreme Court has granted the township's application for leave to appeal, meaning that the Supreme Court will also weigh in on whether the six-year statute of limitations applies. In the meantime,

PRACTICE AREAS

Municipal & Public Entity Law Municipal Litigation Zoning & Land Use





municipalities should adjust their policies and procedures for monitoring and enforcing nuisance claims in case the Michigan Supreme Court upholds the Michigan Court of Appeals' ruling. This may include increased monitoring efforts and a streamlined internal process for bringing nuisance claims to court.

Foster Swift's municipal attorneys can assist with these procedural adjustments and update policies as needed. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.