

#### Contact

##### **Houston**

1200 Smith Street, Suite 1400  
Houston, Texas 77002-4310  
Tel: 713.658.1818  
Fax: 713.658.2553

##### **Atlanta**

191 Peachtree Street, N.E.,  
Forty-Sixth Floor  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
Tel: 404.659.1410  
Fax: 404.659.1852

##### **Philadelphia**

300 Conshohocken State Road  
Suite 570  
West Conshohocken, PA 19428  
Tel: 610.772.2300  
Fax: 610.772.2305

##### **San Antonio**

112 East Pecan Street, Suite  
1450  
San Antonio, Texas 78205  
Tel: 210.253.8383  
Fax: 210.253.8384

## **Texas Lawyer: Recent Federal Legislation and Policy Impose Restrictions on Texas Strong Fundamental Right of Freedom of Contract**

**Larry Carbo and Julie Offerman discuss recent National Labor Relations Board ruling on severance agreements in Texas Lawyer article**

*Texas Lawyer*

March 8, 2023

In a Texas Lawyer article, Chamberlain Hrdlickas Labor & Employment attorneys Larry Carbo and Julie Offerman discuss the majority decision by the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) overruling its prior precedent and holding that an employer violated the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) by offering a severance agreement with non-disparagement and confidentiality provisions that would prohibit permanently-furloughed employees from disclosing the terms of the severance agreement and making harmful or disparaging remarks about the employer.

The non-disparagement provision at issue in *McLaren* prohibited statements to the employers employees or the general public that could disparage or harm the image of Employer, its parent and affiliated entities and their officers, directors, employees, agents and representatives. The NLRB noted that the ability to make public statements about the workplace is central to employees exercise of NLRA rights. However, the NLRB did reaffirm that employees do not have a right under the NLRA to make statements that are so disloyal, reckless or maliciously untrue as to lose the [NLRA]s protection.

Carbo and Offerman recommended that employers act now to increase the chance that their severance agreements will withstand NLRB scrutiny. While the NLRBs decision suggests a narrowly tailored agreement would be lawful, the NLRB has not provided guidance on what it would deem acceptable. At a minimum, employers should carefully analyze their severance agreements to ensure the contractual language is not overly broad and cannot be interpreted as preventing an employee from discussing wages, severance, or other employment terms and conditions, assisting or cooperating with the NLRB, or engaging in other activities protected by Section 7.

Carbo and Offerman also noted that although the *McLaren* decision concerned severance agreements, the NLRBs reasoning applies to similar provisions in other employment-related contracts and policies, such as an offer letter or employment agreement. Accordingly, employers should ensure that non-disparagement and confidentiality restrictions in other contracts pass muster under *McLaren*.

To learn more, read the full article [here](#).